

UNDERSTANDING THE PERFORMANCE IN TRAVEL AGENCIES, A LITERATURE REVIEW APPROACH

Diin Fitri

TANRI ABENG UNIVERSITY

Email: diin.fitri@tau.ac.id

Received: April 22, 2024

Approved: May 20, 2024

Abstract

Organizational performance is one of the main factors in determining organizational success. Previous research used several dimensions to measure performance. There are three organizational performance results, namely financial performance, product market results and shareholder return. Many measurements can be used in calculating performance measures. This is due to the influence of different stakeholders and the needs of each organization. In this research the author takes a different perspective by analyzing organizational performance through the travel agency industry. It's just that this research focuses on travel agents in Spain. That's why this research aims to find out more about measuring travel agency performance.

Keywords: Travel Agencies, Performance Travel Agencies

Introduction

Organizational performance has become an essential factor in determining organizational success. Previous studies used several dimensions to measure performance. In general, Richard et al., 2009 stated three organizational performance outcomes. First is financial performance, which includes profits, return on assets, or return on investment (Richard *et al.*, 2009). Second, product market outcomes such as market share or sales (Richard *et al.*, 2009). Third, shareholder return such as total shareholder return, and economic value-added.

On the other hand, Venkatraman & Ramanujam (Venkatraman; Ramanujam, 1986) divided performance into financial and operational performance. Financial performance reflects fulfilling the firm's economic goals, such as profitability, sales growth, or earnings per share. The operational performance or non-financial outcomes include market share, new product introduction, product quality, or marketing effectiveness.

It is worthwhile to understand that there are varied measures of performance. The study by Richard et al. (2009) reviewed 213 research papers, and it was found that there were 207 different performance indicators. Three factors influence those varied measures of performance: the stakeholders, the landscape, and the time frame (Richard *et al.*, 2009). The stakeholders influence

the focus of performance measurement as the stakeholders have different motives and needs (Richard *et al.*, 2009). For instance, managers in profit and non-profit organizations have different motives; one tends to value monetary matters, and the other focuses on contribution to society. The landscape heterogeneity or the uniqueness of each firm, such as its resources, environment, and strategic choices (Richard *et al.*, 2009), also leads to different performance measures. For instance, small organizations might have a different performance measure than multinational organizations. The time frame of performance also differs, as it might describe the past, current, or future performance (Richard *et al.*, 2009).

In addition to the measure of performance, as stated above, prior studies utilized a balanced scorecard to indicate the organization's performance (Franco-Santos *et al.*, 2004; Huang, 2008; Khazaei Pool *et al.*, 2017; Sainaghi *et al.*, 2013). Balanced Scorecard (BSC) was introduced by Kaplan and Norton in 1996, and it aims to evaluate the performance of the organization in four aspects: financial, customers, internal process, and learning growth (Kaplan, 2010).

Previous studies have conducted meta-analyses regarding organizational performance, such as factors that influence the performance or the measurement used in the industry. For instance, the impact of women on boards on financial performance (Post and Byron, 2015), and the effect of ownership structure on firm performance in the tourism industry (Sainaghi *et al.*, 2017). However, as the author understands, limited studies learn the performance of the travel agency industry. Sellers-Rubio and Nicolau-gonzálbez (2009) studied the performance of travel agencies. However, the study focused on travel agencies in Spain. Therefore, this study aims to explore the measurement and the antecedents of travel agencies' performance based on the literature review by elaborating two research questions as below:

RQ1: What are the performance indicators of travel agencies?

RQ2: What are the determinants of travel agencies' performance?

The structure of this study is as follows. After the introduction, the methodology section will be elaborated, followed by a discussion. The discussion section will be divided into two sections for each research question. Finally, the conclusion will be explained at the end of the article.

Research Methodology

In researching the performance of travel agencies, the literature searches are based on four reputable publishers: Taylor and Francis, Emerald, Science-Direct, Springer, and Sage. The keywords used are "religious tourism" and "performance," "performance" and "pilgrimage," "tourism," "performance," and "travel agencies." The article was published between 2008-and 2018 and indexed in Scopus, Chartered Association of Business School (ABS), Australia Business Dean Council (ABDC).

Results And Discussion

It is worthwhile to understand that there are two methods to determine performance when measuring performance: subjective and objective. The objective measures are independent and

detached from the unit of analysis (Andrew *et al.*, 2006). In addition, it should involve precise performance dimensions and an external process to verify its accuracy (Andrew *et al.*, 2006). For instance, a financial statement shows the organization's effectiveness, that the external parties do, and the data is available for everyone.

On the other hand, subjective measures also should refer to a dimension of performance. However, they are not detached by unit analysis (Andrew *et al.*, 2006). The subjective judgment may be made by member organizations such as managers or employees or external parties such as customers or regulatory agencies (Andrew *et al.*, 2006).

Historically, subjective performance is used when there are no objective measures due to confidentiality (Miller and Besser, 2005). To solve that problem, researchers suggest utilizing subjective measures as they are more flexible and constructive (Covin and Slevin, 2008). Prior studies by Dess and Robinson (1984) and Pearce *et al.* (2010) found that subjective assessment is strongly related to the objective measure. Having utilized the subjective measure was done by previous studies (Camisón *et al.*, 2017; Leitner and Guldeenberg, 2010; Parnell, 2010; Pearce *et al.*, 2010; Walter *et al.*, 2006; Zaiem, 2017; Zhu *et al.*, 2014).

The Performance of Travel Agencies

Prior research on travel agency performance has utilized subjective and objective measures. In addition, in order to make it easier for the readers, the performance will be categorized into four groups: learning and growth, business process, customer perspective, and financial perspective, as stated in the balanced scorecard (Kaplan, 2010)

Learning and Growth.

The learning and growth perspective explains the goals for employees, information systems, and organizational alignment (Kaplan, 2010). Human capital, information capital, and organization capital are the three groups in this perspective (Kaplan, 2010).

The performance in *human capital* utilized in travel agencies is reducing employee turnover (Liang and Bao, 2018; Madanoglu *et al.*, 2014), employee satisfaction (Bagur-Femenías *et al.*, 2016; Madanoglu *et al.*, 2014), growth in Employee (Alonso-Almeida, 2013), increased workers' productivity (Bagur-Femenías *et al.*, 2016), employee high work performance (Elbaz *et al.*, 2018), employees tasks are accomplished quickly and efficiently (Elbaz *et al.*, 2018), employees always set a high standard of task accomplishment (Elbaz *et al.*, 2018), employees almost always achieving he targets (Elbaz *et al.*, 2018), Job attitude and Intention such as Individual job performance, individual/organizational commitment, tenure, etc (Teng and Barrows, 2009), and employee core competence (Huang, 2008).

On the other hand, organizational capital such as culture, leadership, alignment, and teamwork. The measurements used were sales team cooperation performance (Huang, 2008), empowerment degree of employees (Huang, 2008), knowledge encloses items associated with knowledge, e.g., skills and competencies (including empowering of staff), enhanced knowledge of the markets, stimulation, and generation of ideas (Hallin and Marnburg, 2008; Thorburn, 2005) in (Foggia and Lazzarotti, 2014).

In learning and growth, travel agencies focus more on the performance of employees, such as their capabilities, productivity, and collaboration. The nature of the service industry, which is inseparable and has variability, makes the person who provides the services necessary. Thus, enhancing the capability of employees and knowledge management are the performances that travel agencies aimed.

Process perspective.

Process perspective defines how the organization would make and provide the differentiated value proposition and achieve financial objectives to enhance productivity (Kaplan, 2010). This perspective has four categories: operations management, customer management, and innovation processes.

Operation Management process included reduced Errors and reprocessing (Bagur-Femenías *et al.*, 2016) efficiency (Sellers-rubio and Nicolau-gonzálbez, 2009) e-efficiency (Bigné *et al.*, 2008), the degree of improvement in company internal–external communication (Alonso-almeida and Llach, 2013), the degree of improvement in intrafirm coordination, (Alonso-almeida and Llach, 2013), the streamlining of company processes (Alonso-almeida and Llach, 2013), service Performance in term of Freedom from deficiencies, or service reliability in meeting customer specifications (Ku, 2014),service productivity (Tsiotsou and Vlachopoulou, 2011), relationship development with suppliers (Bigné *et al.*, 2008), collaborative performance (Tsaor and Wang, 2011; Yeh *et al.*, 2016) specifically in term strong and harmonious relationship between the collaborating suppliers (Yeh *et al.*, 2016), aiming the goals of collaboration (Yeh *et al.*, 2016), the company's competitive position has been enhanced due to the collaboration(Berné *et al.*, 2015; Yeh *et al.*, 2016), the company has been successful in learning some critical skills or capabilities from its collaboration (Yeh *et al.*, 2016), transformation (the productivity of operations) (Tsaor and Wang, 2011).

Innovation Process comprised more innovative business (Bagur-Femenías *et al.*, 2016; Ku *et al.*, 2013) innovation (Camisón *et al.*, 2017; Chen *et al.*, 2017; Gomezelj, 2016). *Customer management process* involved the decrease in direct customer interaction (Alonso-almeida and Llach, 2013), the enhancement of accessibility to more detailed customer information (Alonso-almeida and Llach, 2013), ability to remain in the market during the crisis (Bagur-Femenías *et al.*, 2016), degree of entry in new market segments (Alonso-almeida and Llach, 2013) in new market Roh *et al.* (2005) in (Ku and Fan, 2009), degree of entry in new foreign markets (Alonso-almeida and Llach, 2013), increase in new customer opportunities(Huang, 2013), number of customers (Berné *et al.*, 2015).

As shown above, the performance primarily focuses on operation management or how the service is made and delivered to customers. Like other service industries, the process of making and delivering service is inseparable. Thus, performance in this area is pivotal for travel agencies

Customer Perspective

Customer perspective involves the achievement in the area of customers, such as acquiring, satisfying, and retaining targeted customers, as well as building the share of their spending with

the company (Kaplan, 2010). In addition, it also includes the value proposition that the organization offers its customers, such as the availability, functionality, relationship, or service (Kaplan, 2010).

The performance in customers perspective comprised product prices (Tsaur and Wang, 2011), competitive advantages in term unique service offered, superior quality, and advanced products or service (Liu *et al.*, 2018), company image (Bagur-Femenías *et al.*, 2015, 2016; Madanoglu *et al.*, 2014), reputation (Foggia and Lazzarotti, 2014), product and service quality (Foggia and Lazzarotti, 2014; Huang, 2013; Teng and Barrows, 2009; Tseng and Chiang, 2016; Tsiotsou, 2010; Tsiotsou and Vlachopoulou, 2011), service variety, and customer service (Tsiotsou, 2010), service Value (Teng and Barrows, 2009), market Share (Bagur-Femenias *et al.*, 2013; Bagur-Femenías *et al.*, 2015, 2016; Berné *et al.*, 2015; Bowen and Chen, 2001; Bruni *et al.*, 2017; Cheng and Krumwiede, 2012; Foggia and Lazzarotti, 2014; Madanoglu *et al.*, 2014; Magno *et al.*, 2017; Shah *et al.*, 2015; Tzu-Ching and Brown, 2010), growth of market share (Huang, 2013; Ku *et al.*, 2013; Lin, 2013), and online customers to total customers ratio (Huang, 2008).

Among other indicators of performance (learning and growth and process), performance in the customer perspective is relatively lower. This might be because of the challenge of gaining the data from customers.

Financial perspective

The financial perspective is the highest objective. The financial performance measured in travel agencies are profit (Bagur-Femenias *et al.*, 2013; Bagur-Femenías *et al.*, 2015; Berné *et al.*, 2015; Cheng and Krumwiede, 2012; Ku *et al.*, 2013; Liang and Bao, 2018; Lin, 2013; Madanoglu *et al.*, 2014; Magno *et al.*, 2017; Roxas and Chadee, 2013; Shah *et al.*, 2015; Teng and Barrows, 2009; Tseng and Chiang, 2016; Tsiotsou and Vlachopoulou, 2011; Tzu-Ching and Brown, 2010), profit margin (Berné *et al.*, 2015), increase Expected profit (Huang, 2013), profit growth (Bagur-Femenías *et al.*, 2016; Liang and Bao, 2018), revenue (Bruni *et al.*, 2017; Ku and Fan, 2009; Tzu-Ching and Brown, 2010) Roh et al. (2005) in (Ku and Fan, 2009), contribution Margin (Bruni *et al.*, 2017), , sales (Bagur-Femenias *et al.*, 2013; Bagur-Femenías *et al.*, 2015, 2016; Berné *et al.*, 2015; Ku and Fan, 2009; Madanoglu *et al.*, 2014; Shah *et al.*, 2015) Roh et al. (2005) in (Ku and Fan, 2009), E-sales (Bigné *et al.*, 2008; Kuo-Chien, 2015), growth of Sales (Alonso-Almeida, 2013; Huang, 2008, 2012; Lin, 2013; Magno *et al.*, 2017; Roxas and Chadee, 2013; Shah *et al.*, 2015; Zhu *et al.*, 2014), sales per employee (Homburg *et al.*, 2011; Kuo *et al.*, 2016), sales per outlet (Sellers-rubio and Nicolau-gonzálbez, 2009), ROI (Cheng and Krumwiede, 2012; Huang, 2008; Lin, 2013; Sellers-rubio and Nicolau-gonzálbez, 2009; Shah *et al.*, 2015; Tseng and Chiang, 2016; Zhu *et al.*, 2014), ROE (Zhu *et al.*, 2014), Return on Sales (Bruni *et al.*, 2017; Lin, 2013; Zhu *et al.*, 2014), ROA (Andreu *et al.*, 2010; Sellers-rubio and Nicolau-gonzálbez, 2009), Return on Capital Employed (ROCE), (Sellers-rubio and Nicolau-gonzálbez, 2009), Output/input ratio (Bruni *et al.*, 2017), Revenue to cost ratio (Huang, 2008), Price Cost Margin (Georgiadis and Pitelis, 2012), Stock Return (Ooi *et al.*, 2015), cost of the business (Tzu-Ching and Brown, 2010) (Madanoglu *et al.*, 2014), Tobin-Q (Wang *et al.*, 2014), reducing cost (Liang and Bao, 2018), online transaction to total revenue ratio (Huang, 2008), subjective perceptions of input (the cost efficiency of supplies) (Tsaur and Wang, 2011).

Based on the data above, financial performance is highly preferable in research on travel agencies' performance. The financial performance indicators range from profit to cost reduction. However, performance related to profit and sales was utilized the most.

The Antecedents of Performance in Travel Agencies

The antecedents of performance in travel agencies will be grouped into what the firms **have or resources**, such as human capital, the leaders, and their entrepreneur orientation, and what the firms **do or their strategy**, such as their strategy or organizational service orientation.

Travel Agencies Resources.

The antecedents in terms of what firms resources are the leadership (Liang and Bao, 2018), including their business skills (Liang and Bao, 2018), their entrepreneur orientation (Roxas and Chadee, 2013), their entrepreneur's education background (Georgiadis and Pitelis, 2012), the senior executives' social capital (Liu *et al.*, 2018; Tsaur and Wang, 2011), diversity in board external ties (Ooi *et al.*, 2015).

Another resource that influences performance is the area of human capital. It includes the increase in the proportion of qualified personnel in the firm, individual and team competence (Elbaz *et al.*, 2018), the ability to evaluate/redefine or customize service in order to comply customers' needs and wants (Foggia and Lazzarotti, 2014), the change competence (individual level) (Elbaz *et al.*, 2018), certain individual (salesperson) characteristic (Kuo *et al.*, 2016), the pursuit of common interest, goals, and mutual benefit (Tsaur and Wang, 2011).

Furthermore, other resources that are pivotal for travel agencies' performance are organizational capabilities in exploration and exploitation (Camisón *et al.*, 2017), dynamic capabilities (Weigert, 2018), reputation (Zhu *et al.*, 2014), and customer loyalty (Tzu-Ching and Brown, 2010). There are three main themes concerning resources that impact performance: leadership, employee capabilities, and organizational resources such as reputation and customer loyalty. Nevertheless, most research looked at the employee and leadership as the antecedents of travel agencies' performance.

Travel Agencies' Strategy.

On the other hand, travel agencies have conducted some strategies regarding what firms do or their strategy. However, the strategy will be categorized into functional and organizational level strategies as explained below.

Functional

Supply chain, quality management practice (Bagur-Femenías *et al.*, 2015, 2016), environmental management practice (Bagur-Femenias *et al.*, 2013; Bagur-Femenías *et al.*, 2015), supply chain flexibility (Yeh *et al.*, 2016)

Technology, IT adaption(Online communication and procurement (Bigné *et al.*, 2008) ICT adoption (Alonso-almeida and Llach, 2013) ICT-innovation(Gomezelj, 2016).

Marketing, relationship enhancement (Berné *et al.*, 2015), marketing Orientation (Cheng and Krumwiede, 2012; Shah *et al.*, 2015; Tsiotsou and Vlachopoulou, 2011), customer orientation

(Tsiotsou, 2010) (indicator of MO). Internal marketing orientation (Khazaei Pool *et al.*, 2017), marketing Performance Assessment System (MPAS) (Magno *et al.*, 2017) that enables routines and procedures, innovation (Gomezelj, 2016; Ku *et al.*, 2013; Liang and Bao, 2018), CRM (Foggia and Lazzarotti, 2014), (Rababah *et al.*, 2011) in (Rahimi *et al.*, 2017), online-Quality of the website, e-commerce website and training (Foggia and Lazzarotti, 2014), E-Marketing (Tsiotsou and Vlachopoulou, 2011), social media campaign (Kuo-Chien, 2015), *Product/Service Development*, service Innovation (Cheng and Krumwiede, 2012; Ku *et al.*, 2013; Lin, 2013), co-creation (Chen *et al.*, 2017; Tseng and Chiang, 2016) *Human Resources*, human touch (Nicolau and Santa Maria, 2013) in (Gomezelj, 2016), employee satisfaction (Ku and Fan, 2009) enhancement of employee specialization, and the intensity of change in company employees' everyday tasks (Alonso-almeida and Llach, 2013). In sum, prior research focused on marketing strategy and understanding factors that influence travel agencies' performance. It includes marketing orientation, customer orientation, and CRM. On the other hand, strategies related to technology are less utilized to impact performance.

Organizational Level

Some strategies at an organizational level are influencing travel agencies' performance. They are good governance (Wang *et al.*, 2014), knowledge management (Magno *et al.*, 2017), organizational service orientation (Teng and Barrows, 2009), service standard communication (between airlines and Travel agencies) (Yeh *et al.*, 2016), system support and system effective (Ku and Fan, 2009), service Quality (Lin, 2013), price (Foggia and Lazzarotti, 2014), cost leadership (Huang, 2008), differentiation strategy (Huang, 2012; Liu *et al.*, 2018), prospector, Prospector-Analyze (AP), Analyze-Defender(AD), analyze strategy (Madanoglu *et al.*, 2014). In addition, market-focused strategies (Ku, 2014; Ku *et al.*, 2013), fits between type of diversification (related/unrelated) and entry mode (Andreu *et al.*, 2010), barriers to imitate (market leadership, innovative products, brand loyalty, reputation), adaptive business model(Weigert, 2018), path (the knowledge of firms regarding their awareness of the path to follow in order to gain and maintain competitive advantage) (Foggia and Lazzarotti, 2014), Network and cluster (Malakauskaite and Navickas, 2010) in (Gomezelj, 2016) are also impacting the performance as well as institutional environment that covers rule of law, regulatory quality, and government policies(Roxas and Chadee, 2013).

In sum, at the organizational level, most of the previous studies learned about strategies adopted by travel agencies, such as the adaptation of generic strategies(Porter and Roach, 1996) or Miles and Snow's organizational strategy.

Conclusion

Based on the literature review, this study aims to understand the measurement and the antecedent of performance in travel agencies. To explain the indicators of performance, a balanced scorecard framework is used for categorization. Financial performance is most utilized as a performance indicator, and profit and sales are the most adopted ones. On the other hand, the utilization of customers' perspectives is relatively lower than other perspectives. This might be because of the challenge of gaining data from the customers.

The antecedents of performance are grouped into resources and strategies. In relation to resources, most of the research looked at the capabilities of employees and leaders' capabilities and characteristics. In addition, in regards to strategies, most of the studies learned the impact of strategies in marketing on performance. It includes marketing orientation, customer orientation, and CRM. On the other hand, strategies related to technology are less utilized.

References

- Alonso-Almeida, M. del M. (2013), "Influence of gender and financing on tourist company growth", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 66 No. 5, pp. 621–631.
- Alonso-almeida, M.M. and Llach, J. (2013), "Adoption and use of technology in small business environments", *The Service Industries Journal*, Vol. 33 No. 15–16, pp. 1456–1472.
- Andreu, R., Claver, E. and Quer, D. (2010), "Entry of Spanish tourism firms into new businesses", *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, Vol. 22 No. 1, pp. 7–23.
- Andrew, R., Boyne, G.A. and Walker, R.M. (2006), "Subjective and Objective Measure of Organizational Performance: An Empirical Exploration", *Public Service Performance: Perspectives on Measurement and Management*, Cambridge University Press, p. 181 pages.
- Bagur-Femenias, L., Llach, J. and Alonso-Almeida, M. del M. (2013), "Is the adoption of environmental practices a strategical decision for small service companies?: An empirical approach", *Management Decision*, Vol. 51 No. 1, pp. 41–62.
- Bagur-Femenías, L., Perramon, J. and Amat, O. (2015), "Impact of quality and environmental investment on business competitiveness and profitability in small service business: the case of travel agencies", *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*, Taylor & Francis, Vol. 26 No. 7–8, pp. 840–853.
- Bagur-Femenías, L., Perramon, J. and Barquero, J.D. (2016), "Does Intensive Social Network Management Lead to Positive Effects in Quality Practices?", *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*, Taylor & Francis, Vol. 27 No. 11–12, pp. 1246–1260.
- Berné, C., García-González, M., García-Uceda, M.E. and Múgica, J.M. (2015), "The effect of ICT on relationship enhancement and performance in tourism channels", *Tourism Management*, Vol. 48, pp. 188–198.
- Bigné, J.E., Aldás, J. and Andreu, L. (2008), "B2B services: IT adoption in travel agency supply chains", *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 22 No. 6, pp. 454–464.

- Bowen, J.T. and Chen, S.L. (2001), “The relationship between customer loyalty and customer satisfaction”, *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, available at:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09596110110395893>.
- Bruni, A., Cassia, F. and Magno, F. (2017), “Current Issues in Tourism Marketing performance measurement in hotels , travel agencies and tour operators : a study of current practices”, *Current Issues in Tourism*, Taylor & Francis, Vol. 20 No. 4, pp. 339–345.
- Camisón, C., Forés, B. and Boronat-Navarro, M. (2017), “Cluster and firm-specific antecedents of organizational innovation”, *Current Issues in Tourism*, Taylor & Francis, Vol. 20 No. 6, pp. 617–646.
- Chen, J.S., Kerr, D., Chou, C.Y. and Ang, C. (2017), “Business co-creation for service innovation in the hospitality and tourism industry”, *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, Vol. 29 No. 6, pp. 1522–1540.
- Cheng, C.C. and Krumwiede, D. (2012), “The role of service innovation in the market orientation — new service performance linkage”, *Technovation*, Elsevier, Vol. 32 No. 7–8, pp. 487–497.
- Covin, J.G. and Slevin, D.P. (2008), “The Entrepreneurial Imperatives of Strategic Leadership”, *Strategic Entrepreneurship: Creating a New Mindset*, available at:<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781405164085.ch14>.
- Elbaz, A.M., Haddoud, M.Y. and Shehawy, Y.M. (2018), “Nepotism, employees’ competencies and firm performance in the tourism sector: A dual multivariate and Qualitative Comparative Analysis approach”, *Tourism Management*, Elsevier Ltd, Vol. 67, pp. 3–16.
- Foggia, G. Di and Lazzarotti, V. (2014), “Assessing the link between revenue management and performance: Insights from the Italian tourism industry”, *Measuring Business Excellence*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 55–65.
- Franco-Santos, M., Bourne, M. and Huntington, R. (2004), “Executive pay and performance measurement practices in the UK”, *Measuring Business Excellence*, Vol. 8 No. 3, pp. 5–11.
- Georgiadis, A. and Pitelis, C.N. (2012), “Human resources and SME performance in services : empirical evidence from the UK”, *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, Vol. 23 No. 4, pp. 808–825.
- Gomezelj, D.G. (2016), “A systematic review of research on innovation in hospitality and tourism”, *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, Vol. 28 No. 3, pp. 516–558.

- Homburg, C., Wieseke, J., Lukas, B.A. and Mikolon, S. (2011), “When salespeople develop negative headquarters stereotypes: Performance effects and managerial remedies”, *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 39 No. 5, pp. 664–682.
- Huang, L. (2008), “Strategic orientation and performance measurement model in Taiwan ’ s travel agencies”, *The Service Industries Journal*, Vol. 28 No. 10, December 2018, pp. 1357–2383.
- Huang, L. (2012), “Social Media as a New Play in a Marketing Channel Strategy : Evidence from Taiwan Travel Agencies ’ Blogs”, *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research ISSN:*, Vol. 17 No. 6, December 2012, available at:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10941665.2011.635664>.
- Huang, L. (2013), “Building a barrier-to-imitation strategy model in the travel agency industry”, *Current Issues in Tourism*, Vol. 16 No. 4, pp. 313–326.
- Kaplan, R.S. (2010), “Conceptual Foundations of the Balanced Scorecard Conceptual Foundations of the Balanced Scorecard 1”, *Management Accounting Research*, pp. 1–37.
- Khazaei Pool, J., Khodadadi, M. and Amirbakzadeh Kalati, E. (2017), “Linking internal marketing orientation to balanced scorecard outcomes in small businesses: the case of travel agencies”, *International Journal of Culture, Tourism, and Hospitality Research*, Vol. 11 No. 3, pp. 297–308.
- Ku, E.C.S. (2014), “Technological competence and team cohesiveness among travel agencies”, *Service Industries Journal*, Taylor & Francis, Vol. 34 No. 6, pp. 515–529.
- Ku, E.C.S. and Fan, Y.W. (2009), “Knowledge sharing and customer relationship management in the travel service alliances”, Vol. 3363, available at:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14783360903248880>.
- Ku, E.C.S., Yang, C.M. and Huang, M.Y. (2013), “Partner Choice: Adaptation of Strategic Collaboration between Travel Agencies”, *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research*, Vol. 37 No. 4, pp. 516–536.
- Kuo-Chien, C. (2015), “How travel agency reputation creates recommendation behavior”, *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, Vol. 115 No. 2, pp. 332–352.
- Kuo, N. Te, Cheng, Y.S., Chiu, W.H. and Cho, S. (2016), “Personalities of Travel Agents with Strong Sales Records in Taiwan”, *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, Vol. 21 No. 9, pp. 1001–1019.
- Leitner., K.-H. and Guldeenberg, S. (2010), “Generic strategies and firm performance in SMEs.”, *Small Business Economic*, Vol. 35 No. 2, pp. 169–189.

- Liang, Z. and Bao, J. (2018), “Targeted Poverty Alleviation in China: Segmenting Small Tourism Entrepreneurs and Effectively Supporting Them”, *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, Routledge, Vol. 26 No. 11, pp. 1984–2001.
- Lin, L. (2013), “The Impact of Service Innovation on Firm Performance”, *The Service Industries Journal*, Vol. 33 No. 15–16, pp. 1599–1632.
- Liu, C.H., Horng, J.S., Chou, S.F., Huang, Y.C. and Chang, A.Y. (2018), “How to create competitive advantage: the moderate role of organizational learning as a link between shared value, dynamic capability, differential strategy, and social capital”, *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, Taylor & Francis, Vol. 23 No. 8, pp. 747–764.
- Madanoglu, M., Okumus, F. and Avci, U. (2014), “Building a case against strategic equifinality”, *Management Decision*, Vol. 52 No. 6, pp. 1174–1193.
- Magno, F., Cassia, F. and Bruni, A. (2017), “Adoption and impact of marketing performance assessment systems among travel agencies”, *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, Vol. 29 No. 4, pp. 1133–1147.
- Miller, N. and Besser, T. (2005), “Exploring Decision Strategies And Evaluations Of Performance By Networked And Non-Networked Small U.S. Business”, *Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship*, available at:<https://doi.org/10.1142/S1084946705000124>.
- Ooi, C.A., Hooy, C.W. and Mat Som, A.P. (2015), “Diversity in human and social capital: Empirical evidence from Asian tourism firms in corporate board composition”, *Tourism Management*, Elsevier Ltd, Vol. 48, pp. 139–153.
- Parnell, J.A. (2010), “Strategic clarity, business strategy and performance”, *Journal of Strategy and Management*, Vol. 3 No. 4, pp. 304–324.
- Pearce, J.A., Fritz, D.A. and Davis, P.S. (2010), “Entrepreneurial orientation and the performance of religious congregations as predicted by rational choice theory”, *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice*, Vol. 34 No. 1, pp. 219–248.
- Porter, M.E. and Roach, S.S. (1996), “What is Strategy ? HarvardBusinessReview WHAT IS STRATEGY ? THE EXECUTIVE AS COACH THE QUESTIONS EVERY ENTREPRENEUR MUST ANSWER WHAT HOLDS THE MODERN COMPANY TOGETHER ? PROFITS FOR NONPROFITS : FIND A CORPORATE PARTNER THE FUTURE OF INTERACTIVE MARKETING”, *Harvard Business Review*, pp. 1–20.
- Post, C. and Byron, K. (2015), “Women on Boards and Firm Financial Performance: A Meta-Analysis”, *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 58 No. 5, pp. 1546–1571.

- Rahimi, R., Köseoglu, M.A., Ersoy, A.B. and Okumus, F. (2017), “Customer relationship management research in tourism and hospitality: a state-of-the-art”, *Tourism Review*, Vol. 72 No. 2, pp. 209–220.
- Richard, P.J., Devinney, T.M., Yip, G.S. and Johnson, G. (2009), “Measuring organizational performance: Towards methodological best practice”, *Journal of Management*, Vol. 35 No. 3, pp. 718–804.
- Roxas, B. and Chadee, D. (2013), “Effects of formal institutions on the performance of the tourism sector in the Philippines: The mediating role of entrepreneurial orientation”, *Tourism Management*, Elsevier Ltd, Vol. 37, pp. 1–12.
- Sainaghi, R., Phillips, P. and Corti, V. (2013), “Measuring hotel performance: Using a balanced scorecard perspectives’ approach”, *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 34 No. 1, pp. 150–159.
- Sainaghi, R., Phillips, P. and Zavarrone, E. (2017), “Performance measurement in tourism firms: A content analytical meta-approach”, *Tourism Management*, Elsevier Ltd, Vol. 59, pp. 36–56.
- Sellers-rubio, R. and Nicolau-gonzálbez, J.L. (2009), “Assessing performance in services : the travel agency industry”, *The Service Industries Journal*, Vol. 20 No. 5, May 2009, pp. 653–667.
- Shah, S.M.A., El-Gohary, H. and Hussain, J.G. (2015), “An Investigation of Market Orientation (MO) and Tourism Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises’ (SMEs) Performance in Developing Countries: A Review of the Literature”, *Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing*, Routledge, Vol. 32 No. 8, pp. 990–1022.
- Teng, C. and Barrows, C.W. (2009), “Service orientation : antecedents , outcomes , and implications for hospitality research and practice”, *The Service Industries Journal*, Vol. 29 No. 11, October 2009, pp. 1413–1435.
- Tsaur, S.H. and Wang, C.H. (2011), “Personal ties, reciprocity, competitive intensity, and performance of the strategic alliances in Taiwan’s travel industry”, *Service Industries Journal*, Vol. 31 No. 6, pp. 911–928.
- Tseng, F.M. and Chiang, L.L.L. (2016), “Why does customer co-creation improve new travel product performance?”, *Journal of Business Research*, Elsevier Inc., Vol. 69 No. 6, pp. 2309–2317.

- Tsiotsou, R.H. (2010), “Delineating the effect of market orientation on services performance: A component-wise approach”, *Service Industries Journal*, Vol. 30 No. 3, pp. 375–403.
- Tsiotsou, R.H. and Vlachopoulou, M. (2011), “Understanding the effects of market orientation and e-marketing on service performance”, *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 141–155.
- Tzu-Ching, L. and Brown, G. (2010), “Market orientation and performance outcomes: An empirical study of travel agencies in Taiwan”, *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, Vol. 15 No. 1, pp. 79–94.
- Venkatraman; Ramanujam, V. (1986), “Measurement of Business Performance in Strategy Research : A Comparison of Approaches”, *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 11 No. 4, pp. 801–814.
- Walter, A., Auer, M. and Ritter, T. (2006), “The impact of network capabilities and entrepreneurial orientation on university spin-off performance”, *Journal of Business Venturing*, Vol. 21, pp. 541–567.
- Wang, C., Xu, H., Scott, N. and Ding, P. (2014), “Influence of Institutional Investors on Listed Tourism Companies ’ Performance : An Empirical Case from China”, *Journal of China Tourism Research*, Routledge, Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 257–274.
- Weigert, M. (2018), “Jumia travel in Africa: expanding the boundaries of the online travel agency business model”, *Tourism Review*, available at:<https://doi.org/10.1108/TR-04-2017-0073>.
- Yeh, C.C., Ku, E.C.S. and Ho, C.H. (2016), “Collaborating pivotal suppliers: Complementarities, flexibility, and standard communication between airline companies and travel agencies”, *Journal of Air Transport Management*, Elsevier Ltd, Vol. 55, pp. 92–101.
- Zaiem, A.B.Z.I. (2017), “Service orientation as a strategic marketing tool: the moderating effect of business sector”, *Competitiveness Review*, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 40–61.
- Zhu, Y., Sun, L.Y. and Leung, A.S.M. (2014), “Corporate social responsibility, firm reputation, and firm performance: The role of ethical leadership”, *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, Vol. 31 No. 4, pp. 925–947.